# DRIVER’S LICENSE CERTIFICATE

## What role, if any, does a physician have in the issuance of a Washington State driver’s license?

In Washington State, a person who wishes to apply for a commercial driver’s license must first be medically examined to ensure that he or she is healthy enough to do so.[[1]](#footnote-1) New regulations require commercial drivers to keep a medical certificate on file with the Department of Licensing.[[2]](#footnote-2) Washington has also adopted this new requirement into state law.[[3]](#footnote-3)

## May the physician’s certifying statement be used in litigation?

Generally, no. The statement is confidential[[4]](#footnote-6) and may be used only in determining the issuance of a driver’s license,[[5]](#footnote-7) in determining eligibility for or continuance of disability benefits, and as evidence in any administrative proceeding or court action concerning such disability benefits, or in an appeal from an order canceling or withholding a person’s driving privilege.[[6]](#footnote-8)

The certifying statement may not be used as evidence in any civil litigation against the physician or others.[[7]](#footnote-9)

## Must a physician report a patient whose driving ability is impaired?

No. Washington law does not specifically require a physician to report a patient whose driving ability is impaired. A physician should decline to certify that a patient can safely drive a vehicle, however, if the physician believes the patient cannot.

## Should a physician report a patient whose driving ability is impaired?

If asked to complete a certificate concerning the patient’s condition and its impact on the patient’s driving ability, the physician should truthfully report whatever information is requested. Because there is no other Washington requirement or procedure for reporting a patient’s impaired ability to drive and because an unsolicited report could breach patient confidentiality, a physician should not report a patient’s impaired driving ability without the patient’s written consent. Whenever a physician believes that a patient’s condition impairs the patient’s ability to drive, the physician should inform the patient that the patient should not drive, explain the reasons why the patient should not drive, and document the advice the physician gave in the patient’s medical record.

## Does a physician have any special responsibilities relating to the licensing of drivers of commercial vehicles?

Yes. Drivers of some commercial vehicles must receive a physical exam as part of the state licensing process.[[8]](#footnote-10) As part of that required physical exam, the examining physician must complete an examination form called the Medical Examination Report for Commercial Driver Fitness Determination.[[9]](#footnote-11) Copies of the form are found at: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-02-22/pdf/2012-3978.pdf#page=1>.[[10]](#footnote-12) The physician must retain both the original Medical Examination Report and a copy at the physician’s office for at least three years.[[11]](#footnote-13)

If the physician finds the person examined physically qualified to drive a commercial vehicle, the physician must complete a certificate in the specific form available at: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-04-20/pdf/2012-9034.pdf#page=28>.[[12]](#footnote-14) The physician must give the original certificate to the person examined and must give a copy to a prospective or current employing motor carrier who requests it.[[13]](#footnote-15)

If the examining physician finds a physical condition that is likely to interfere with the driver’s ability to operate or control a motor vehicle safely, the physician should not complete a certification. Instead, the physician should give a copy of the driver’s medical examination to the driver, who must in turn forward it immediately to the Washington State Department of Licensing, Responsibility Division, Medical Section, P.O. Box 9030, Olympia, WA 98507-9030. The Department will then determine whether to issue a clearance notification to the driver. Receipt of a clearance notification is sufficient cause for a physician to issue a certification.[[14]](#footnote-16)
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